

# CVO103: Programming Languages

## Lecture 1 — Inductive Definitions (1)

Hakjoo Oh  
2018 Spring

# Inductive Definitions

Inductive definition (induction) is widely used in the study of programming languages and computer science in general: e.g.,

- The syntax and semantics of programming languages
- Data structures (e.g. lists, trees, graphs, etc)

Induction is a technique for formally defining a set:

- The set is defined in terms of itself.
- The only way of defining an infinite set by a finite means.

Three styles to inductive definition:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

## Example (Top-Down)

### Definition ( $S$ )

A natural number  $n$  is in  $S$  if and only if

- 1  $n = 0$ , or
- 2  $n - 3 \in S$ .

- Inductive definition of a set of natural numbers:  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$
- $\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} \subseteq S$
- $\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} \supseteq S$

$$S = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}.$$

# Formal Proofs

## Lemma

$$\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} \subseteq S$$

By induction. To show:  $3k \in S$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- 1 Base case:  $3k \in S$  when  $k = 0$ .
- 2 Inductive case: Assume  $3k \in S$  (Induction Hypothesis, I.H.).  
To show is  $3 \cdot (k + 1) \in S$ , which holds because  
 $3 \cdot (k + 1) - 3 = 3k \in S$  by the induction hypothesis.

## Lemma

$$\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} \supseteq S$$

By proof by contradiction. Let  $n = 3k + q$  ( $q = 1$  or  $2$ ) and assume  $n \in S$ . By the definition of  $S$ ,  $n - 3, n - 6, \dots, n - 3k \in S$ . Thus,  $S$  must include  $1$  or  $2$ , a contradiction.

# A Bottom-up Definition

## Definition ( $\mathcal{S}$ )

$\mathcal{S}$  is the *smallest* set such that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  satisfies the following two conditions:

- 1  $0 \in \mathcal{S}$ , and
- 2 if  $n \in \mathcal{S}$ , then  $n + 3 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

- The two conditions imply  $\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ .
- The two conditions do not imply  $\{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\} \supseteq \mathcal{S}$ , e.g.,  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- By requiring  $\mathcal{S}$  to be the **smallest** such a set,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}.$$

- The smallest set satisfying the conditions is unique.
  - ▶ Proof) If  $\mathcal{S}_1$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2$  satisfy the conditions and are both smallest, then  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$  and  $\mathcal{S}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{S}_2$  ( $\subseteq$  is anti-symmetric).

# Rules of Inference

$$\frac{A}{B}$$

- $A$ : hypothesis (antecedent)
- $B$ : conclusion (consequent)
- “if  $A$  is true then  $B$  is also true”.
- $\overline{B}$ : axiom.

# Defining a Set by Rules of Inferences

## Definition

$$\overline{0 \in S}$$
$$\frac{n \in S}{(n + 3) \in S}$$

Interpret the rules as follows:

“A natural number  $n$  is in  $S$  iff  $n \in S$  can be derived from the axiom by applying the inference rules finitely many times”

ex)  $3 \in S$  because

$$\overline{0 \in S} \text{ the axiom}$$
$$\frac{0 \in S}{3 \in S} \text{ the second rule}$$

Note that this interpretation enforces that  $S$  is the smallest set closed under the inference rules.

## Exercises

- ① What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\overline{\mathbf{3}} \quad \frac{x \quad y}{x + y}$$

- ② What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\overline{()} \quad \frac{s}{(s)} \quad \frac{s}{s \ s}$$

- ③ Define the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, aba, abb, baa, bab, bba, bbb, \dots\}$$

- ④ Define the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{x^n y^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$



# Summary

In inductive definitions, a set is defined in terms of itself. Three styles:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

In PL, we mainly use the rules-of-inference method.