COSE215: Theory of Computation Lecture 13 — Properties of Context-Free Languages (2)

> Hakjoo Oh 2016 Spring

# The Pumping Lemma for RLs

#### Theorem (Pumping Lemma for RLs)

For any regular language L there exists an integer n, such that for all  $x \in L$  with  $|x| \ge n$ , there exist  $u, v, w \in \Sigma^*$ , such that

- $\bigcirc x = uvw$
- $\textcircled{2} |uv| \leq n$
- $|v| \geq 1$
- ④ for all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $uv^i w \in L$ .

"For any RL, we can find one small string to pump"

# The Pumping Lemma for CFLs

#### Theorem (Pumping Lemma for CFLs)

For any context-free language L there exists an integer n, such that for all  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \geq n$ , there exist  $u, v, w, x, y \in \Sigma^*$ , such that

- $\bigcirc z = uvwxy$
- $\textcircled{2} |vwx| \leq n$
- $|vx| \geq 1$
- for all  $i \geq 0$ ,  $uv^i wx^i y \in L$ .

"For any CFL, we can find two small strings to pump in tandem"

# Example

A context-free language:

$$L = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \ge 0\}$$

Thus the pumping lemma holds with n = 2:

• Any  $z \in L$  with  $|z| \geq 2$  satisfies the pumping lemma.

• E.g., 
$$z = 01$$
:  
•  $u = \epsilon, v = 0, w = \epsilon, x = 1, y = \epsilon$ .  
•  $z = uvwxy$   
•  $|vwx| \le 2$   
•  $|vx| \ge 1$   
• for all  $i \ge 0$ ,  $uv^iwx^iy \in L$   
• E.g.,  $z = 0011$ :  
•  $u = 0, v = 0, w = \epsilon, x = 1, y = 1$ .  
•  $z = uvwxy$   
•  $|vwx| \le 2$   
•  $|vx| \ge 1$   
• for all  $i \ge 0$ ,  $uv^iwx^iy \in L$ 

Proving languages not to be context-free

- If L is context-free, L satisfies the pumping lemma.
- Although L satisfies the pumping lemma, L may not be context-free.
- If L does not satisfy pumping lemma, then L is not context-free.

P.L. can be used only for proving languages not to be context-free.

## Example 1

Prove that  $L = \{0^n 1^n 2^n \mid n \geq 1\}$  is not context-free.

- Show that pumping lemma (P.L.) does not hold.
- If L is context-free, then by P.L. there exists n such that ...
- Now let  $z = 0^n 1^n 2^n$
- $z \in L$  and  $|z| \ge n$ , so by P.L. there exist u, v, w, x, y such that (1)–(4) hold.
- We show that for all u, v, w, x, y (1)–(4) do not all hold.
- If (1), (2), (3) hold then  $z = 0^n 1^n 2^n = uvwxy$  with  $|vwx| \le n$  and  $|vx| \ge 1$ .
- So, vwx cannot involve both 0's and 2's, since  $|vwx| \leq n$ .
  - vwx has no 2's (y has n 2's). Then (4) fails for i = 0:  $uv^0wx^0y = uwy$  has n 2's but fewer 0's or 1's, since  $|vx| \ge 1$ . Contradiction.
  - 2 vwx has no 0's (u has n 0's). Then (4) fails for i = 0. Contradiction.

## Example 2

Prove that  $L = \{0^i 1^j 2^i 3^j \mid i \geq 1, j \geq 1\}$  is not context-free.

- Show that pumping lemma (P.L.) does not hold.
- If L is context-free, then by P.L. there exists n such that ...
- Now let  $z = 0^n 1^n 2^n 3^n$
- $z \in L$  and  $|z| \ge n$ , so by P.L. there exist u, v, w, x, y such that (1)–(4) hold.
- We show that for all u, v, w, x, y (1)–(4) do not all hold.
- If (1), (2), (3) hold then  $z = 0^n 1^n 2^n 3^n = uvwxy$  with  $|vwx| \le n$  and  $|vx| \ge 1$ .
- So, vwx has either only one symbol or straddles two adjacent symbols, since  $|vwx| \leq n$ .
  - *vwx* has only one symbol. Then (4) fails for *i* = 0: *uwy* has *n* of three different symbols and fewer than *n* of the fourth symbol. Thus, *uwy* ∉ *L*. Contradiction.
  - 2 vwx straddles two symbols, say 1's and 2's. Then, uwy is missing either some 1's or some 2's. Thus,  $uwy \notin L$ . Contradiction.

# Closure Properties of CFLs

Regular languages are closed under:

- union,
- intersection,
- concatenation,
- closure,
- complementation, ...

Context-free languages are closed under:

- union,
- concatenation,
- closure

But, CFLs are not closured under intersection and complementation.

#### CFLs are not closured under intersection

The languages

$$L_1 = \{a^n b^n c^m \mid n \ge 0, m \ge 0\}$$
 $L_2 = \{a^n b^m c^m \mid n \ge 0, m \ge 0\}$ 

are context-free, but their intersection

$$L_1\cap L_2=\{a^nb^nc^n\mid n\geq 0\}$$

is not context-free.

### CFLs are not closed under complementation

Suppose that CFLs are closed under complementation. Then, a contradiction is derived from

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \overline{\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}}$$

and the fact that CFLs are closed under union.

# cf) CFLs are closed under regular intersection

#### Theorem

If  $L_1$  is a context-free language and  $L_2$  is a regular language, then  $L_1\cap L_2$  is context-free.

Examples:

- $L_1 = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is context-free and  $L_2 = \{a^{100} b^{100}\}$  is regular. Thus,  $L = \{a^n b^n \mid n \ge 0, n \ne 100\}$  is context-free.
- $L = \{w \in \{a,b,c\}^* \mid n_a(w) = n_b(w) = n_c(w)\}$  is not context-free, because

$$L\cap L(a^*b^*c^*)=\{a^nb^nc^n\mid n\geq 0\}$$

is not context-free.