

COSE212: Programming Languages

Lecture 10 — Design and Implementation of PLs (6) Exceptions

Hakjoo Oh
2026 Fall

Review: The PROC Language

Syntax

$$P \rightarrow E$$
$$E \rightarrow n$$
$$| x$$
$$| E + E$$
$$| \text{iszero } E$$
$$| \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E$$
$$| \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E$$
$$| \text{letrec } f(x) = E \text{ in } E$$
$$| \text{proc } x E$$
$$| E E$$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho)}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

This Lecture: Adding Exceptions

- So far, evaluating an expression either produces a value or diverges.
- But often we need to handle an exceptional situation that should abort the current computation and jump back to a designated handler.
- Two new constructs:
 - ▶ `raise  $E$` : raise a value as an exception.
 - ▶ `try  $E_1$  catch ( $x$ )  $E_2$` : evaluate E_1 ; if it raises a value v , bind x to v and evaluate E_2 instead.
- Implementing this cleanly requires a new technique: continuations.

Example 1

```
let f = proc (x) if iszero(x) then raise 99
                else x
in try (f(0) + 10) catch (y) y
```

Example 2

```
try (1 + (1 + (1 + raise 100))) catch (z) z
```

Making the Control Context Explicit

When we are about to evaluate `raise 100` in Example 2, the surrounding program is

$$\text{try } (1 + (1 + (1 + \square))) \text{ catch } (z) z$$

where $\square$ denotes the sub-expression in focus. Everything outside $\square$ is the **control context** of `raise 100`: it records what is left to do with the value that $\square$ will produce (“add to 1, then add to 1, then add to 1, then check the try”).

- Our rules $\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow v$ have no access to this control context: each rule sees only its sub-derivations, not the surrounding context.
- `raise` needs the context so it can abandon the pending additions and jump to the enclosing `try`.
- Fix: thread the control context explicitly as a continuation k . Push a frame onto k for each step we descend; `raise` then walks k looking for a `try`.

Making the Control Context Explicit

In Example 2, as we descend into the deepest sub-expression `raise 100`, the pending work waiting outside is:

```
add result to 1
add result to 1
add result to 1
hand result to the try handler
```

(top of the stack is the next thing to do; the `try` entry is the bottom.)

When `raise 100` fires:

- Throw away the three pending additions.
- Walk down the stack until the `try` entry is found.
- Run the handler with $z = 100$.

Next: make this stack an explicit object we can manipulate.

Continuations

A continuation k records **what is left to do** after the current sub-expression returns a value.

We make it explicit by extending the judgment from

$$\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow v \quad \text{to} \quad \rho, k \vdash E \Rightarrow v.$$

The next two slides answer the two natural questions:

- 1 What shapes can k take? (the frames)
- 2 How does k change during evaluation? (eval/k and apply-cont rules)

Continuation Frames

While we evaluate some sub-expression, k 's top frame stores “what to do once that sub-expression returns a value.”

$k \in \text{Cont}$	$::=$	end	nothing left to do
		$\text{plus}_1(E_2, \rho, k)$	evaluate E_2 next, then add
		$\text{plus}_2(v_1, k)$	add v_1 to the arriving value
		$\text{iszero}_1(k)$	test arriving value against 0
		$\text{if}_1(E_2, E_3, \rho, k)$	branch on <i>true/false</i>
		$\text{let}_1(x, E_2, \rho, k)$	bind x to value, then eval E_2
		$\text{call}_1(E_2, \rho, k)$	value is a closure; eval E_2 next
		$\text{call}_2(v, k)$	call closure v on arriving value

For example, $\text{plus}_1(E_2, \rho, k)$ sits on top of k and represents the control context of E_1 in $E_1 + E_2$; once E_1 's value arrives, the frame tells us to evaluate E_2 next and add the two results.

PROC in Continuation-Passing Form

Two mutually recursive judgments:

eval/k: $\rho, k \vdash E \Rightarrow v$

apply-cont: $k \vdash_a v \Rightarrow v'$

- $\rho, k \vdash E \Rightarrow v$: the result of evaluating E under ρ and then applying k to that value is v .
- $k \vdash_a v \Rightarrow v'$: the result of applying k to v is v' .

A program $P = E$ runs with the empty environment and the terminal continuation: $[], \text{end} \vdash E \Rightarrow v$.

Eval/k: Atomic Expressions

Atomic expressions finish immediately and hand their value to k :

$$\frac{k \vdash_a n \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash n \Rightarrow v} \text{ NUM}$$

$$\frac{k \vdash_a \rho(x) \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash x \Rightarrow v} \text{ VAR}$$

$$\frac{k \vdash_a (x, E, \rho) \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow v} \text{ PROC}$$

letrec also pushes no frame:

$$\frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)]\rho, k \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ LETREC}$$

Eval/k: Compound Expressions

A compound expression evaluates its first sub-expression and pushes a frame describing what to do next with the result:

$$\frac{\rho, \text{plus}_1(E_2, \rho, k) \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ PLUS}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{iszero}_1(k) \vdash E \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow v} \text{ ISZERO}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{if}_1(E_2, E_3, \rho, k) \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \text{ IF}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{let}_1(x, E_2, \rho, k) \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ LET}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{call}_1(E_2, \rho, k) \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ CALL}$$

Apply-cont (1)

Each rule fires when a value arrives at a particular frame on top of k :

$$\frac{}{\text{end} \vdash_a v \Rightarrow v} \text{END}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{plus}_2(v_1, k) \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\text{plus}_1(E_2, \rho, k) \vdash_a v_1 \Rightarrow v} \text{PLUS}_1 \quad \frac{k \vdash_a n_1 + n_2 \Rightarrow v}{\text{plus}_2(n_1, k) \vdash_a n_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{PLUS}_2$$

$$\frac{k \vdash_a \text{true} \Rightarrow v}{\text{iszero}_1(k) \vdash_a 0 \Rightarrow v} \text{ISZERO-T} \quad \frac{k \vdash_a \text{false} \Rightarrow v}{\text{iszero}_1(k) \vdash_a n \Rightarrow v} \text{ISZERO-F } (n \neq 0)$$

$$\frac{\rho, k \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\text{if}_1(E_2, E_3, \rho, k) \vdash_a \text{true} \Rightarrow v} \text{IF-T} \quad \frac{\rho, k \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\text{if}_1(E_2, E_3, \rho, k) \vdash_a \text{false} \Rightarrow v} \text{IF-F}$$

$$\frac{[x \mapsto v_1]\rho, k \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\text{let}_1(x, E_2, \rho, k) \vdash_a v_1 \Rightarrow v} \text{LET}_1$$

Apply-cont (2)

$$\frac{\rho, \text{call}_2((x, E, \rho'), k) \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\text{call}_1(E_2, \rho, k) \vdash_a (x, E, \rho') \Rightarrow v} \text{CALL}_1$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{call}_2((f, x, E, \rho'), k) \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\text{call}_1(E_2, \rho, k) \vdash_a (f, x, E, \rho') \Rightarrow v} \text{CALL}_1^r$$

$$\frac{[x \mapsto v_1]\rho', k \vdash E \Rightarrow v}{\text{call}_2((x, E, \rho'), k) \vdash_a v_1 \Rightarrow v} \text{CALL}_2$$

$$\frac{[x \mapsto v_1, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')]\rho', k \vdash E \Rightarrow v}{\text{call}_2((f, x, E, \rho'), k) \vdash_a v_1 \Rightarrow v} \text{CALL}_2^r$$

Example: $(\text{proc } x \ (x + 1)) \ 5 \Rightarrow 6$

$$\begin{array}{ll} [] , \text{end} \vdash (\text{proc } x \ (x + 1)) \ 5 & \xrightarrow{\text{CALL}} [] , \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end}) \vdash \text{proc } x \ (x + 1) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{PROC}} \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end}) \vdash_a (x, x + 1, []) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{CALL}_1} [] , \text{call}_2((x, x + 1, []), \text{end}) \vdash 5 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{NUM}} \text{call}_2((x, x + 1, []), \text{end}) \vdash_a 5 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{CALL}_2} [x \mapsto 5], \text{end} \vdash x + 1 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{PLUS}} [x \mapsto 5], \text{plus}_1(1, [x \mapsto 5], \text{end}) \vdash x \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{VAR}} \text{plus}_1(1, [x \mapsto 5], \text{end}) \vdash_a 5 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{PLUS}_1} [x \mapsto 5], \text{plus}_2(5, \text{end}) \vdash 1 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{NUM}} \text{plus}_2(5, \text{end}) \vdash_a 1 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{PLUS}_2} \text{end} \vdash_a 6 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{END}} 6 \end{array}$$

Example: $(\text{let } f = \text{proc } x (x + 1) \text{ in } f) 5 \Rightarrow 6$

$$\begin{aligned} & [], \text{end} \vdash (\text{let } f = \text{proc } x (x + 1) \text{ in } f) 5 \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{CALL}} [], \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end}) \vdash \text{let } f = \text{proc } x (x + 1) \text{ in } f \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{LET}} [], \text{let}_1(f, f, [], \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end})) \vdash \text{proc } x (x + 1) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{PROC}} \text{let}_1(f, f, [], \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end})) \vdash_a (x, x + 1, []) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{LET}_1} [f \mapsto (x, x + 1, [])], \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end}) \vdash f \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{VAR}} \text{call}_1(5, [], \text{end}) \vdash_a (x, x + 1, []) \\ & \vdots \text{ (same as previous slide from step 2 onward)} \\ & \longrightarrow \mathbf{6} \end{aligned}$$

Adding Exceptions to PROC

Two new expressions:

$$E ::= \dots \mid \text{try } E_1 \text{ catch } (x) E_2 \mid \text{raise } E$$

Continuation frames:

$$k ::= \dots \mid \text{try}(x, E_h, \rho, k) \mid \text{raise}_1(k)$$

- $\text{try}(x, E_h, \rho, k)$: an installed handler. On a normal value, pass it through to k ; findable by raise .
- $\text{raise}_1(k)$: intercepts the arriving value and searches k for an enclosing try to hand it to.

Rules: try and raise

try installs a handler frame; raise evaluates its argument under a raise_1 frame:

$$\frac{\rho, \text{try}(x, E_2, \rho, k) \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{try } E_1 \text{ catch } (x) E_2 \Rightarrow v} \text{ TRY}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \text{raise}_1(k) \vdash E \Rightarrow v}{\rho, k \vdash \text{raise } E \Rightarrow v} \text{ RAISE}$$

On the apply-cont side: a successful try frame passes the value through; a raise_1 frame triggers handler search:

$$\frac{k \vdash_a v \Rightarrow v'}{\text{try}(x, E_h, \rho, k) \vdash_a v \Rightarrow v'} \text{ TRY-OK}$$

$$\frac{\text{find-handler}(k, v) \Rightarrow v'}{\text{raise}_1(k) \vdash_a v \Rightarrow v'} \text{ RAISE}_1$$

Searching for a Handler

find-handler(k, v) walks the continuation, looking for the nearest try frame. Non-handler frames are discarded:

$$\frac{[x \mapsto v]\rho, k \vdash E_h \Rightarrow v'}{\text{find-handler}(\text{try}(x, E_h, \rho, k), v) \Rightarrow v'} \text{FH-MATCH}$$

$$\frac{F \neq \text{try} \quad \text{find-handler}(k, v) \Rightarrow v'}{\text{find-handler}(F(\dots, k), v) \Rightarrow v'} \text{FH-SKIP}$$

- All frames between the raise and the matching try (`plus2`, `call2`, `let1`, ...) are discarded.
- The handler E_h runs in the original environment of the try, extended with $x \mapsto v$, and continues with k (the continuation that was waiting outside the try).
- If k contains no try frame, no rule applies at end: a runtime error (uncaught exception).

Example

Trace of $\text{try } (1 + \text{raise } 5) \text{ catch } (x) x$. Let $K = \text{try}(x, [], \text{end})$.

$[], \text{end} \vdash \text{try } (1 + \text{raise } 5) \text{ catch } (x) x$	$\xrightarrow{\text{TRY}}$	$[], K \vdash 1 + \text{raise } 5$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{PLUS}}$	$[], \text{plus}_1(\text{raise } 5, [], K) \vdash 1$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{NUM}}$	$\text{plus}_1(\text{raise } 5, [], K) \vdash_a 1$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{PLUS}_1}$	$[], \text{plus}_2(1, K) \vdash \text{raise } 5$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{RAISE}}$	$[], \text{raise}_1(\text{plus}_2(1, K)) \vdash 5$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{NUM}}$	$\text{raise}_1(\text{plus}_2(1, K)) \vdash_a 5$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{RAISE}_1}$	$\text{find-handler}(\text{plus}_2(1, K), 5)$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{FH-SKIP}}$	$\text{find-handler}(K, 5)$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{FH-MATCH}}$	$[x \mapsto 5], \text{end} \vdash x$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{VAR}}$	$\text{end} \vdash_a 5$
	$\xrightarrow{\text{END}}$	5

Summary

We extended PROC with exceptions:

- Rewrote the semantics in continuation-passing style.
- Added `try  $E$  catch ( $x$ )  $E$  and raise  $E$ .`