COSE212: Programming Languages

Lecture 1 — Inductive Definitions (1)

Hakjoo Oh 2023 Fall

Inductive Definitions

Inductive definition (induction) is widely used in the study of programming languages and computer science in general: e.g.,

- The syntax and semantics of programming languages
- Data structures (e.g., lists, trees, graphs)

Induction is a technique for formally defining a set:

- The set is defined in terms of itself.
- The only way of defining an infinite set by a finite means.

Three styles to inductive definition:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

Example (Top-Down)

Let us define a certain subset S of natural numbers (\mathbb{N}) as follows:

Definition (S)

A natural number n is in S if and only if

- $\mathbf{0}$ n=0, or
- $n-3 \in S$.

The definition is *inductive*, because the set is defined in terms of itself. What is the set S?

Example (Continued)

Let us see what natural numbers are in S.

- ullet 0 is in S because of the first condition of the definition.
- 3 is in S because 3-3=0 and 0 is in S.
- 6 is in S because 6-3=3 and 3 is in S.

• ...

We can conjecture that $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\subseteq S$.

Proof by mathematical induction .

We show that $3k \in S$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $oldsymbol{0}$ Base case: $3k \in S$ when k=0.
- ② Inductive case: Assume $3k \in S$ (Induction Hypothesis, I.H.). Then show $3 \cdot (k+1) \in S$, which holds because $3 \cdot (k+1) 3 = 3k \in S$ by the induction hypothesis.



Example (Continued)

What about other numbers? Does S contain only the multiples of S?

- For instance, $1 \in S$? No. Because the first condition is not true, the second condition must be true for 1 to be in S. However, it is not true because 1-3=-2 is not a natural number. Similarly, we can show that $2 \not\in S$.
- What about 4? Because $4-3=1\not\in S$, $4\not\in S$.

By similar reasoning, we can conjecture that if n is not a multiple of $\mathbf 3$ then n is not in S. In other words, S contains multiples of $\mathbf 3$ only: i.e.,

$$\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\supseteq S.$$

Proof by contradiction.

Let n=3k+q (q=1 or 2) and assume $n\in S$. By the definition of S, n-3, n-6, $\ldots,$ $n-3k\in S$. Thus, S must include 1 or 2, a contradiction.

A Bottom-up Definition

An alternative inductive definition of S:

Definition (S)

S is the *smallest* set such that $S\subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and S satisfies the following two conditions:

- $0 \in S$, and
- 2 if $n \in S$, then $n+3 \in S$.
 - ullet The two conditions imply $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\subseteq S$.
- ullet The two conditions do not imply $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\supseteq S$. E.g.,
 - ▶ \mathbb{N} satisfies the conditions: $0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $n + 3 \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\} \cup \{1,4,7,10,\ldots\}$ satisfies the conditions.
- ullet This is why the definition requires S to be the **smallest** such a set.
- The smallest set that satisfies the two conditions is unique:

$$S = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \ldots\}.$$

Rules of Inference

The third way is to define the set with inference rules. An inference rule is of the form:

 $\frac{A}{B}$

- A: hypothesis (antecedent)
- B: conclusion (consequent)
- ullet "if A is true then B is also true".
- ullet \overline{B} : axiom (inference rule without hypothesis)

The hypothesis may contain multiple statements:

$$\frac{A \quad B}{C}$$

"If both A and B are true then so is C".

Rules of Inferences

The set S is defined as inference rules as follows:

Definition (S)

$$rac{n \in S}{0 \in S} \qquad rac{n \in S}{(n+3) \in S}$$

Interpret the rules as follows:

"A natural number n is in S iff $n \in S$ can be derived from the axiom by applying the inference rules finitely many times"

For example, $\mathbf{3} \in S$ because we can find a "proof/derivation tree":

$$\cfrac{0 \in S}{3 \in S}$$
 the axiom the second rule

but $1, 2, 4, \dots \not\in S$ because we cannot find proofs. Note that this interpretation enforces that S is the smallest set closed under the inference rules.

Exercises

What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\frac{x}{3}$$
 $\frac{x}{x+y}$

What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\frac{x}{()}$$
 $\frac{x}{(x)}$ $\frac{x}{xy}$

Of the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{a,b,aa,ab,ba,bb,aaa,aab,aba,abb,baa,bab,bba,bbb,\ldots\}$$

Oefine the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{x^n y^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Summary

In inductive definitions, a set is defined in terms of itself. Three styles:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

In PL, we mainly use the rules-of-inference method.