# COSE212: Programming Languages Lecture 1 — Inductive Definitions (1)

Hakjoo Oh 2019 Fall

#### Inductive Definitions

Inductive definition (induction) is widely used in the study of programming languages and computer science in general: e.g.,

- The syntax and semantics of programming languages
- Data structures (e.g., lists, trees, graphs)

Induction is a technique for formally defining a set:

- The set is defined in terms of itself.
- The only way of defining an infinite set by a finite means.

Three styles to inductive definition:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

## Example (Top-Down)

Let us define a certain subset S of natural numbers  $(\mathbb{N})$  as follows:

Definition (S)

A natural number n is in S if and only if

$$oldsymbol{0}$$
  $n=0$ , or

 $2 n-3 \in S.$ 

The definition is *inductive*, because the set is defined in terms of itself. What is the set S?

### Example (Continued)

• . . .

Let us see what natural numbers are in S.

- 0 is in S because of the first condition of the definition.
- 3 is in S because 3 3 = 0 and 0 is in S.
- 6 is in S because 6-3=3 and 3 is in S.

We can conjecture that  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\subseteq S.$ 

#### Proof by mathematical induction .

We show that  $3k \in S$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- **1** Base case:  $3k \in S$  when k = 0.
- 2 Inductive case: Assume  $3k \in S$  (Induction Hypothesis, I.H.). Then show  $3 \cdot (k+1) \in S$ , which holds because
  - $3 \cdot (k+1) 3 = 3k \in S$  by the induction hypothesis.

### Example (Continued)

What about other numbers? Does S contain only the multiples of 3?

- For instance,  $1 \in S$ ? No. Because the first condition is not true, the second condition must be true for 1 to be in S. However, it is not true because 1 3 = -2 is not a natural number. Similarly, we can show that  $2 \notin S$ .
- What about 4? Because  $4-3=1 \not\in S$ ,  $4 \not\in S$ .

By similar reasoning, we can conjecture that if n is not a multiple of 3 then n is not in S. In other words, S contains multiples of 3 only: i.e.,

$$\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\supseteq S.$$

#### Proof by contradiction.

Let n = 3k + q (q = 1 or 2) and assume  $n \in S$ . By the definition of S, n - 3, n - 6,  $\ldots$ ,  $n - 3k \in S$ . Thus, S must include 1 or 2, a contradiction.

### A Bottom-up Definition

An alternative inductive definition of S:

Definition (S)

S is the  $\mathit{smallest}$  set such that  $S\subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and S satisfies the following two conditions:

- $oldsymbol{0} \in S$ , and
- 2) if  $n \in S$ , then  $n + 3 \in S$ .
  - The two conditions imply  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\subseteq S$ .
  - ullet The two conditions do not imply  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\}\supseteq S.$  E.g.,
    - $\mathbb{N}$  satisfies the conditions:  $0 \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $n + 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ .
    - ▶  $\{0,3,6,9,\ldots\} \cup \{1,4,7,10,\ldots\}$  satisfies the conditions.
  - This is why the definition requires S to be the smallest such a set.
  - The smallest set that satisfies the two conditions is unique:

$$S = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \ldots\}.$$

#### Rules of Inference

The third way is to define the set with inference rules. An inference rule is of the form:

# $rac{A}{B}$

- A: hypothesis (antecedent)
- **B**: conclusion (consequent)
- "if A is true then B is also true".
- $\overline{B}$ : axiom (inference rule without hypothesis)

The hypothesis may contain multiple statements:

$$\frac{A B}{C}$$

"If both  $oldsymbol{A}$  and  $oldsymbol{B}$  are true then so is  $oldsymbol{C}$ ".

#### **Rules of Inferences**

Definition (S)

The set S is defined as inference rules as follows:

 $\overline{0\in S}$   $\overline{(n+3)\in S}$ 

Interpret the rules as follows:

"A natural number n is in S iff  $n \in S$  can be derived from the axiom by applying the inference rules finitely many times"

For example,  $\mathbf{3} \in S$  because we can find a "proof/derivation tree":

 $\overline{ \substack{0 \in S \\ 3 \in S}}$  the axiom the second rule

but  $1, 2, 4, \dots \notin S$  because we cannot find proofs. Note that this interpretation enforces that S is the smallest set closed under the inference rules.

Hakjoo Oh

#### Exercises

• What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\overline{3}$$
  $\frac{x \ y}{x+y}$ 

What set is defined by the following inductive rules?

$$\overline{()}$$
  $\frac{x}{(x)}$   $\frac{x}{xy}$ 

Of the following set as rules of inference:

 $S = \{a, b, aa, ab, ba, bb, aaa, aab, aba, abb, baa, bab, bba, bbb, \ldots\}$ 

Oefine the following set as rules of inference:

$$S = \{x^ny^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

#### Summary

In inductive definitions, a set is defined in terms of itself. Three styles:

- Top-down
- Bottom-up
- Rules of inference

In PL, we mainly use the rules-of-inference method.