

COSE212: Programming Languages

Lecture 9 — Design and Implementation of PLs (5) Records, Pointers, and Garbage Collection

Hakjoo Oh
2018 Fall

Review: Our Language So Far

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{l} P \rightarrow E \\ E \rightarrow n \\ \quad | x \\ \quad | E + E \\ \quad | \text{iszero } E \\ \quad | \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ \quad | \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \\ \quad | \text{proc } x E \\ \quad | E E \\ \quad | E \langle y \rangle \\ \quad | x := E \\ \quad | E; E \end{array}$$

Values:

$$\begin{array}{l} Val = \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure \\ Procedure = Var \times E \times Env \\ \rho \in Env = Var \rightarrow Loc \\ \sigma \in Mem = Loc \rightarrow Val \end{array}$$

Review: Semantics Rules

(Some rules omitted)

$$\frac{}{\rho, \sigma \vdash n \Rightarrow n, \sigma} \quad \frac{}{\rho, \sigma \vdash x \Rightarrow \sigma(\rho(x)), \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow true, \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{}{\rho, \sigma \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho), \sigma} \quad \frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E \Rightarrow v, \sigma_1}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash x := E \Rightarrow v, [\rho(x) \mapsto v] \sigma_1}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1, \sigma_1 \quad [x \mapsto l] \rho, [l \mapsto v_1] \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2} \quad l \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_1)$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho'), \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2 \quad [x \mapsto l] \rho', [l \mapsto v] \sigma_2 \vdash E \Rightarrow v', \sigma_3}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v', \sigma_3} \quad l \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_2)$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho'), \sigma_1 \quad [x \mapsto \rho(y)] \rho', \sigma_1 \vdash E \Rightarrow v', \sigma_2}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \ \langle y \rangle \Rightarrow v', \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1, \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v_2, \sigma_2}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1; E_2 \Rightarrow v_2, \sigma_2}$$

Plan

Extend the language with

- records (structured data),
- pointers, and
- memory management.

Records (Structured Data)

A record (i.e., struct in C) is a collection of named memory locations.

```
let student = { id := 201812, age := 20 }  
in student.id + student.age
```

```
let tree = { left := {}, v := 0, right := {} }  
in tree.right := { left := {}, v := 2, right := 3 }
```

cf) Arrays are also collections of memory locations, where the names of the locations are natural numbers.

Language Extension

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow \vdots \\ \quad | \quad \{\} \\ \quad | \quad \{ x := E, y := E \} \\ \quad | \quad E.x \\ \quad | \quad E.x := E \end{array}$$

Values:

$$\begin{array}{l} Val = \mathbb{Z} + Bool + \{\cdot\} + Procedure + Record \\ Procedure = Var \times E \times Env \\ r \in Record = Field \rightarrow Loc \\ \rho \in Env = Var \rightarrow Loc \\ \sigma \in Mem = Loc \rightarrow Val \end{array}$$

A record value r is a finite function (i.e., table):

$$\{x_1 \mapsto l_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto l_n\}$$

Language Extension

Semantics:

$$\overline{\rho, \sigma \vdash \{ \} \Rightarrow \cdot, \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1, \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v_2, \sigma_2 \quad l_1, l_2 \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_2)}{\rho, \sigma \vdash \{ x := E_1, y := E_2 \} \Rightarrow \{ x \mapsto l_1, y \mapsto l_2 \}, [l_1 \mapsto v_1, l_2 \mapsto v_2]\sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E \Rightarrow r, \sigma_1}{\rho, \sigma \vdash E.x \Rightarrow \sigma_1(r(x)), \sigma_1}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow r, \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2}{\rho, \sigma \vdash E_1.x := E_2 \Rightarrow v, [r(x) \mapsto v]\sigma_2}$$

Pointers

Let memory locations to be first-class values.

```
let x = 1 in
  let y = &x in
    *y := *y + 2
```

```
let x = { left := {}, v := 1, right := {} } in
  let y = &x.v
    *y := *y + 2
```

```
let f = proc (x) (*x := *x + 1) in
  let a = 1 in
    (f &a); a
```

```
let f = proc (x) (&x) in
  let p = (f 1) in
    *p := 2
```


Language Extension

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{l} E \rightarrow \vdots \\ \quad | \quad \&x \\ \quad | \quad \&E.x \\ \quad | \quad *E \\ \quad | \quad *E := E \end{array}$$

Values:

$$\begin{array}{l} Val = \mathbb{Z} + Bool + \{\cdot\} + Procedure + Record + Loc \\ Procedure = Var \times E \times Env \\ r \in Record = Field \rightarrow Loc \\ \rho \in Env = Var \rightarrow Loc \\ \sigma \in Mem = Loc \rightarrow Val \end{array}$$

Language Extension

Semantics:

$$\frac{}{\rho, \sigma \vdash \&x \Rightarrow \rho(x), \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E \Rightarrow r, \sigma_1}{\rho, \sigma \vdash \&E.x \Rightarrow r(x), \sigma_1}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E \Rightarrow l, \sigma_1}{\rho, \sigma \vdash *E \Rightarrow \sigma_1(l), \sigma_1}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow l, \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2}{\rho, \sigma \vdash *E_1 := E_2 \Rightarrow v, [l \mapsto v]\sigma_2}$$

Note that the meaning of $*E$ varies depending on its location.

- When it is used as l-value, $*E$ denotes the location that E refers to.
- When it is used as r-value, $*E$ denotes the value stored in the location.

Need for Memory Management

- New memory is allocated in let, call, and record expressions:

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1, \sigma_1 \quad [x \mapsto l]\rho, [l \mapsto v_1]\sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2 \quad l \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_1)}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho'), \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v, \sigma_2 \quad [x \mapsto l]\rho', [l \mapsto v]\sigma_2 \vdash E \Rightarrow v', \sigma_3}{\rho, \sigma_0 \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v', \sigma_3} \quad l \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_2)$$

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1, \sigma_1 \quad \rho, \sigma_1 \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v_2, \sigma_2 \quad l_1, l_2 \notin \text{Dom}(\sigma_2)}{\rho, \sigma \vdash \{ x := E_1, y := E_2 \} \Rightarrow \{ x \mapsto l_1, y \mapsto l_2 \}, [l_1 \mapsto v_1, l_2 \mapsto v_2]\sigma_2}$$

- Allocated memory is never deallocated during program execution, eventually leading to memory exhaustion: e.g.,
let forever (x) = (forever x) in (forever 0)
- We need to recycle memory that will no longer be used in the future.
- How can we know that memory will not be used in the future? Can we automate memory recycling?

Automatic Memory Management is Undecidable

- A bad news: exactly identifying memory locations that will be used in the future is impossible.
- Otherwise, we can solve the Halting problem.
 - ▶ We cannot write a program $H(p)$ that returns true iff program p terminates.
- Suppose we have an algorithm G that can exactly find the memory locations that will be used in the rest program execution.
- Then, we can construct $H(p)$ as follows:
 - ① H takes p and execute the following program:

let $x = \text{malloc}()$ in p ; x

where x is a variable not used in p .

- ② Invoke the procedure G right before evaluating p , and find the location set S that will be used in the future.
 - ★ When S contains the location stored in x , p terminates.
 - ★ Otherwise, p does not terminate.

Approaches to Memory Management

Two approaches that trade-off control and safety:

- 1 Manual memory management: manually deallocate every unused memory locations.
 - ▶ E.g., C, C++
 - ▶ Pros: Fine control over the use of memory
 - ▶ Cons: Burden of writing correct code is imposed on programmers
- 2 Runtime garbage collection: *approximately* find memory locations that will not be used in the future and recycle them.
 - ▶ E.g., Java, OCaml
 - ▶ Pros: Memory safety
 - ▶ Cons: Fine control is impossible / Runtime overhead

cf) Some recent programming languages like Rust¹ achieve both safety and control by using static type system.

¹<https://www.rust-lang.org>

Manual Memory Management

Extend the language with the deallocation expression:

$$\begin{array}{c} E \rightarrow \vdots \\ | \text{ free}(E) \end{array}$$

Semantics rule:

$$\frac{\rho, \sigma \vdash E \Rightarrow l, \sigma_1}{\rho, \sigma \vdash \text{free}(E) \Rightarrow \cdot, \sigma_1|_{\text{Dom}(\sigma_1) \setminus \{l\}}} \quad l \in \text{Dom}(\sigma_1)$$

where

$$\sigma|_X(l) = \begin{cases} \sigma(l) & \text{if } l \in X \\ \mathbf{undef} & \text{if } l \notin X \end{cases}$$

Manual Memory Management

- Unfortunately, memory management is too difficult to do correctly, leading to the three types of errors in C:
 - ▶ Memory-leak: deallocate memory too late
 - ▶ Double-free: deallocate memory twice
 - ▶ Use-after-free: deallocate memory too early (dangling pointer)
- These errors are common in practice, becoming significant sources of security vulnerabilities.

Garbage Collection (GC)

Automatic garbage collection works in three steps:

- 1 Pause the program execution.
- 2 Collect memory locations reachable from the current environment.
- 3 Recycle unreachable memory locations.

```
let f = proc (x) (x+1) in
  let a = f 0 in
    a + 1
```


Example

Environment and memory before GC:

$$\rho = \left[\begin{array}{l} x \mapsto l_1 \\ y \mapsto l_2 \end{array} \right] \quad \sigma = \left[\begin{array}{l} l_1 \mapsto 0 \\ l_2 \mapsto \{a \mapsto l_3, b \mapsto l_1\} \\ l_3 \mapsto l_4 \\ l_4 \mapsto (x, E, [z \mapsto l_5]) \\ l_5 \mapsto 0 \\ l_6 \mapsto l_7 \\ l_7 \mapsto l_6 \end{array} \right]$$

Memory after GC:

$$\mathbf{GC}(\rho, \sigma) = \left[\begin{array}{l} l_1 \mapsto 0 \\ l_2 \mapsto \{a \mapsto l_3, b \mapsto l_4\} \\ l_3 \mapsto l_4 \\ l_4 \mapsto (x, E, [z \mapsto l_5]) \\ l_5 \mapsto 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Garbage Collection (GC): Formal Definition

- Let $\mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)$ be the set of locations in σ that are reachable from the entries in ρ . It is the smallest set that satisfies the rules:

$$\frac{}{\rho(x) \in \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)} \quad x \in \mathit{Dom}(\rho) \quad \frac{l \in \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma) \quad \sigma(l) = l'}{l' \in \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)}$$

$$\frac{l \in \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma) \quad \sigma(l) = \{x_1 \mapsto l_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto l_n\}}{\{l_1, \dots, l_n\} \subseteq \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)}$$

$$\frac{l \in \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma) \quad \sigma(l) = (x, E, \rho')}{\mathbf{reach}(\rho', \sigma) \subseteq \mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)}$$

- Let \mathbf{GC} be the garbage-collecting procedure:

$$\mathbf{GC}(\rho, \sigma) = \sigma|_{\mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)}$$

- Before evaluating an expression, perform \mathbf{GC} :

$$\rho, \mathbf{GC}(\rho, \sigma) \vdash E \Rightarrow v, \sigma'$$

Safe but Incomplete

GC performs memory management in an approximate but safe way.

Theorem (Safety of GC)

In the inference of $(\rho, \sigma \vdash E \Rightarrow v, \sigma')$, the set of used (read or written) locations in σ is included in $\mathbf{reach}(\rho, \sigma)$.

Proof.

By induction on E . □

However, some locations that will not be used may be reachable.

Summary

The final programming language:

- expressions, procedures, recursion,
- states with explicit/implicit references
- parameter-passing variations
- records, pointers, and automatic garbage collection