## COSE212: Programming Languages

Lecture 6 — Design and Implementation of PLs
(2) Procedures

Hakjoo Oh 2017 Fall

## Review: The Let Language

Syntax:

$$egin{array}{ll} P &
ightarrow & E \ E &
ightarrow & n \ &ert & E+E \ &ert & E-E \ &ert & ext{iszero} & E \ &ert & ext{if} & E ext{then} & E ext{else} & E \ &ert & ext{let} & x = E ext{ in } E \ &ert & ext{read} \end{array}$$

### Review: The Let Language

Semantic domain:

$$egin{array}{lll} Val &=& \mathbb{Z} + Bool \ Env &=& Var 
ightarrow Val \end{array}$$

Semantics rules:

#### Proc = Let + Procedures

## Example

```
• let f = proc (x) (x-11)
in (f (f 77))
```

#### Example

- let f = proc (x) (x-11) in (f (f 77))
- ((proc (f) (f (f 77))) (proc (x) (x-11)))

## Free/Bound Variables of Procedures

- An occurrence of the variable x is *bound* when it occurs without definitions in the body of a procedure whose formal parameter is x.
- Otherwise, the variable is free.
- Examples:

```
proc (y) (x+y)
proc (x) (let y = 1 in x + y + z)
proc (x) (proc (y) (x+y))
let x = 1 in proc (y) (x+y)
let x = 1 in proc (y) (x+y+z)
```

## Static vs. Dynamic Scoping

What is the result of the program?

```
let x = 1
in let f = proc (y) (x+y)
in let x = 2
    in let g = proc (y) (x+y)
    in (f 1) + (g 1)
```

## Static vs. Dynamic Scoping

What is the result of the program?

```
let x = 1
in let f = proc (y) (x+y)
in let x = 2
    in let g = proc (y) (x+y)
    in (f 1) + (g 1)
```

Two ways to determine free variables of procedures:

- In static scoping (lexical scoping), the procedure body is evaluated in the environment where the procedure is defined (i.e. procedure-creation environment).
- In *dynamic scoping*, the procedure body is evaluated in the environment where the procedure is called (i.e. calling environment)

#### Exercises

What is the result of the program?

- In static scoping:
- In dynamic scoping:
- 1 let a = 3
   in let p = proc (z) a
   in let f = proc (x) (p 0)
   in let a = 5
   in (f 2)
- 2 let a = 3
   in let p = proc (z) a
   in let f = proc (a) (p 0)
   in let a = 5
   in (f 2)

# Why Static Scoping?

Most modern languages use static scoping. Why?

- Reasoning about programs is much simpler in static scoping.
- In static scoping, renaming bound variables by their lexical definitions does not change the semantics, which is unsafe in dynamic scoping.

```
let x = 1
in let f = proc (y) (x+y)
  in let x = 2
    in let g = proc (y) (x+y)
    in (f 1) + (g 1)
```

- In static scoping, names are resolved at compile-time.
- In dynamic scoping, names are resolved only at runtime.

### Semantics of Procedures: Static Scoping

Domain:

$$egin{array}{lll} Val &=& \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure \ Procedure &=& Var imes Env \ Env &=& Var 
ightarrow Val \end{array}$$

The procedure value is called *closures*. The procedure is closed in its creation environment.

## Semantics of Procedures: Static Scoping

Domain:

$$egin{array}{lcl} Val &=& \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure \ Procedure &=& Var imes Env \ Env &=& Var 
ightarrow Val \end{array}$$

The procedure value is called *closures*. The procedure is closed in its creation environment.

Semantics rules:

## **Examples**

$$[] \vdash (proc (x) (x)) 1 \Rightarrow 1$$

## **Examples**

$$[] \vdash \begin{array}{c} \text{let } x = 1 \\ \text{in let } f = \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \\ \text{in let } x = 2 \\ \text{in } (f \ 3) \end{array} \Rightarrow 4$$

# Semantics of Procedures: Dynamic Scoping

Domain:

$$egin{array}{lcl} Val &=& \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure \ Procedure &=& Var imes E \ Env &=& Var 
ightarrow Val \end{array}$$

Semantics rules:

$$\frac{\rho \vdash \operatorname{proc} x \; E \Rightarrow (x, E)}{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E) \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \qquad [x \mapsto v] \rho \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}$$

$$\rho \vdash E_1 \; E_2 \Rightarrow v'$$

## **Examples**

$$[] \vdash \begin{array}{c} \text{let } x = 1 \\ \text{in let } f = \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \\ \text{in let } x = 2 \\ \text{in } (f \ 3) \end{array} \Rightarrow 5$$

## cf) Multiple Argument Procedures

- We can get the effect of multiple argument procedures by using procedures that return other procedures.
- ex) a function that takes two arguments and return their sum:

```
let f = proc(x) proc(y)(x+y)
in ((f 3) 4)
```

## Adding Recursive Procedures

The current language does not support recursive procedures, e.g.,

let 
$$f = proc(x) (f x)$$
  
in  $(f 1)$ 

for which evaluation gets stuck:

$$[f \mapsto (x, \underline{f \ x, [])}] \vdash f \Rightarrow (x, f \ x, []) \qquad \cfrac{[x \mapsto 1] \vdash f \Rightarrow ? \qquad [x \mapsto 1] \vdash x \Rightarrow 1}{[f \mapsto (x, f \ x, [])] \vdash (f \ 1) \Rightarrow ?}$$

Two solutions:

- go back to dynamic scoping :-(
- modify the language syntax and semantics for procedure :-)

## Recursion is Not Special in Dynamic Scoping

With dynamic scoping, recursive procedures require no special mechanism. Running the program

let 
$$f = proc(x) (f x)$$
  
in  $(f 1)$ 

via dynamic scoping semantics

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E) \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \qquad [x \mapsto v] \rho \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

proceeds well:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \hline [f \mapsto (x,f\;x),x \mapsto 1] \vdash \mathsf{f}\; \mathsf{x} \Rightarrow \\ \hline [f \mapsto (x,f\;x),x \mapsto 1] \vdash \mathsf{f}\; \mathsf{x} \Rightarrow \\ \hline [f \mapsto (x,f\;x)] \vdash \mathsf{f}\; 1 \Rightarrow \\ \hline [] \vdash \mathsf{let}\; \mathsf{f} \; = \mathsf{proc}\; (\mathsf{x}) \; (\mathsf{f}\; \mathsf{x}) \; \mathsf{in}\; (\mathsf{f}\; 1) \Rightarrow \end{array}$$

#### Adding Recursive Procedures

```
E + E
 iszerooldsymbol{E}
  if E then E else E
  let x = E in E
  read
  letrec f(x) = E in E
  \operatorname{	extsf{proc}} x \: E
  E E
```

## Example

```
letrec double(x) =
  if zero?(x) then 0 else ((double (x-1)) + 2)
in (double 1)
```

#### Semantics of Recursive Procedures

Domain:

$$egin{array}{lll} Val &=& \mathbb{Z} + Bool + Procedure + RecProcedure \ Procedure &=& Var imes Env &=& Var imes Env \ Env &=& Var o Val \end{array}$$

Semantics rules:

$$\frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v$$

$$[x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'$$

$$\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v'$$

#### Example

## Summary: The Proc Language

A programming language with expressions and procedures:

Syntax

#### Summary

#### Semantics

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \qquad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{iszero } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0 \qquad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}}{\rho \vdash \text{read} \Rightarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \qquad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \qquad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \qquad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \qquad \frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash proc \ x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho)}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E, \rho') \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \qquad [x \mapsto v]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \qquad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \qquad [x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')]\rho' \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

#### Mid-term

- Homework 2 will replace mid-term exam.
- No class on 10/24(Tue) and 10/26(Thr).