

COSE212: Programming Languages

Lecture 5 — Design and Implementation of PLs (1) Expressions

Hakjoo Oh
2016 Fall

Plan

- **Part 1 (Preliminaries):** inductive definition, basics of OCaml programming, recursive and higher-order programming
- **Part 2 (Basic concepts):** syntax, semantics, naming, binding, scoping, environment, interpreters, states, side-effects, store, reference, mutable variables, parameter passing
- **Part 3 (Advanced concepts):** type system, typing rules, type checking, soundness/completeness, type inference, polymorphism, modules, module procedures, typed modules, objects, classes, methods, inheritance, typed object-oriented languages

Overview

We will learn essential concepts of programming languages by designing and implementing a programming language in a incremental way:

- Expressions
- States
- Types
- Modules

Designing a Programming Language

We need to specify syntax and semantics of the language:

- Syntax: how to write programs
- Semantics: the meaning of the programs

Both are formally specified by inductive definitions (inference rules).

Our First Language

Syntax

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P & \rightarrow & E \\ E & \rightarrow & n \\ | & & x \\ | & & E + E \\ | & & E - E \\ | & & \text{zero? } E \\ | & & \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ | & & \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \end{array}$$

Examples

- 1, 2, x, y
- $1+(2+3)$, $x+1$, $x+(y-2)$
- zero? 1, zero? (2-2), zero? (zero? 3)
- if zero 1 then 2 else 3, if 1 then 2 else 3
- let x = read
in x + 1
- let x = read
in let y = 2
in if zero x then y else x

Values and Environments

To define the semantics, we define values and environments.

- The set of values that the language manipulates, e.g., in Let,

$$Val = \mathbb{Z} + Bool$$

- Environments maintains variable bindings:

$$Env = Var \rightarrow Val$$

Notations:

- ▶ ρ ranges over environments, i.e., $\rho \in Env$.

- ▶ $[]$: the empty environment.

- ▶ $[x \mapsto v]\rho$: the extension of ρ where x is bound to v :

$$([x \mapsto v]\rho)(y) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } x = y \\ \rho(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ $[x_1 \mapsto v_1, x_2 \mapsto v_2]\rho$: the extension of ρ where x_1 is bound to v_1 , x_2 to v_2 :

$$[x_1 \mapsto v_1, x_2 \mapsto v_2]\rho = [x_1 \mapsto v_1]([x_2 \mapsto v_2]\rho)$$

Semantics

The notation

$$\rho \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

means that e evaluates to v in environment ρ .

- $[] \vdash 1 \Rightarrow 1$
- $[x \mapsto 1] \vdash x \Rightarrow 1$
- $[x \mapsto 1] \vdash x+1 \Rightarrow 2$
- $[] \vdash \text{read} \Rightarrow 3, [] \vdash \text{read} \Rightarrow 5$
- $[x \mapsto 0] \vdash \text{let } y = 2 \text{ in if zero } x \text{ then } y \text{ else } x \Rightarrow 1$

Semantics

$$\rho \vdash e \Rightarrow v$$

$$\overline{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n}$$

$$\overline{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 - E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 - n_2}$$

$$\overline{\rho \vdash \text{read} \Rightarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{true}}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

A program e has semantics w.r.t. ρ iff we can derive $\rho \vdash e \Rightarrow v$ for some value v by the inference rules.

Arithmetic Expressions

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 - E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 - n_2}$$

- When $\rho = [i \mapsto 1, v \mapsto 5, x \mapsto 10]$,

$$\frac{\frac{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow 10 \quad \rho \vdash 3 \Rightarrow 3}{\rho \vdash x - 3 \Rightarrow 7} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash v \Rightarrow 5 \quad \rho \vdash i \Rightarrow 1}{\rho \vdash v - i \Rightarrow 4}}{\rho \vdash (x - 3) - (v - i) \Rightarrow 3}$$

Arithmetic Expressions

- But expression $y - 3$ does not have semantics because

$$\rho \vdash y - 3 \Rightarrow v$$

cannot be derived for any value v .

- In $\rho = [x \mapsto \text{true}]$, the semantics of $x + 1$ is not defined because

$$\rho \vdash x + 1 \Rightarrow v$$

cannot be derived for any v .

Conditional Expressions

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

- When $\rho = [x \mapsto 33, y \mapsto 22]$,

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \rho \vdash x \Rightarrow 33 \quad \rho \vdash 11 \Rightarrow 11 \\ \hline \rho \vdash x - 11 \Rightarrow 22 \end{array}}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } (x - 11) \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \rho \vdash y \Rightarrow 22 \quad \rho \vdash 4 \Rightarrow 4 \\ \hline \rho \vdash y - 4 \Rightarrow 18 \end{array}}{\rho \vdash \text{if zero? } (x - 11) \text{ then } y - 2 \text{ else } y - 4 \Rightarrow 18}$$

Let Expression

A let expression creates a new *variable binding* in the environment:

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\boxed{[x \mapsto 5] \vdash x \Rightarrow 5} \quad \boxed{[x \mapsto 5] \vdash 3 \Rightarrow 3}}{[x \mapsto 5] \vdash x - 3 \Rightarrow 2} \quad [] \vdash 5 \Rightarrow 5}{[] \vdash \text{let } x = 5 \text{ in } x - 3 \Rightarrow 2}$$

Let Expression

Let expressions can be nested:

- let z = 5
 - in let x = 3
 - in let y = x - 1
 - in let x = 4
 - in z - (x-y)
- let x = 7
 - in let y = 2
 - in let y = let x = x - 1
 - in x - y
 - in (x-8)-y

Implementing an Interpreter

Syntax definition in OCaml:

```
type program = exp
and exp =
  | CONST of int
  | VAR of var
  | ADD of exp * exp
  | SUB of exp * exp
  | READ
  | ISZERO of exp
  | IF of exp * exp * exp
  | LET of var * exp * exp
and var = string
```

Example

```
let x = 7
in let y = 2
   in let y = let x = x - 1
              in x - y
   in (x-8)-y
```

```
LET ("x", CONST 7,
     LET ("y", CONST 2,
          LET ("y", LET ("x", SUB(VAR "x", CONST 1),
                           SUB (VAR "x", VAR "y")),
               SUB (SUB (VAR "x", CONST 8), VAR "y"))))
```

Implementation: Values and Environments

Values:

```
type value = Int of int | Bool of bool
```

Environments:

```
type env = var -> value
let extend_env (x,v) e = fun y -> if x = y then v else (e y)
let apply_env e x = e x
let empty_env _ = raise (Failure "Env is empty")
```

Implementation: Semantics

```
let rec eval : exp -> env -> value
=fun exp env ->
  match exp with
  | CONST n -> Int n
  | VAR x -> apply_env env x
  | ADD (e1,e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 env in
    let v2 = eval e2 env in
      (match v1,v2 with
       | Int n1, Int n2 -> Int (n1 + n2)
       | _ -> raise (Failure "Type Error: non-numeric values"))
  | SUB (e1,e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 env in
    let v2 = eval e2 env in
      (match v1,v2 with
       | Int n1, Int n2 -> Int (n1 - n2)
       | _ -> raise (Failure "Type Error: non-numeric values"))
  ...
  
```

Implementation: Semantics

```
let rec eval : exp -> env -> value
=fun exp env ->
  ...
  | READ -> Int (read_int())
  | ISZERO e ->
    (match eval e env with
     | Int n when n = 0 -> Bool true
     | _ -> Bool false)
  | IF (e1,e2,e3) ->
    (match eval e1 env with
     | Bool true -> eval e2 env
     | Bool false -> eval e3 env
     | _ -> raise (Failure "Type Error: condition must be Bool type"))
  | LET (x,e1,e2) ->
    let v1 = eval e1 env in
      eval e2 (extend_env (x,v1) env)
```

Example

Running the program:

```
let run : program -> value
=fun pgm -> eval pgm empty_env
```

Examples:

```
# let e1 = LET ("x", CONST 1, ADD (VAR "x", CONST 2));;
val e1 : exp = LET ("x", CONST 1, ADD (VAR "x", CONST 2))
# run e1;;
- : value = Int 3
```

Summary

We have designed and implemented a simple programming language:

$$\begin{array}{c} P \rightarrow E \\ E \rightarrow n \\ | \\ x \\ | \\ E + E \\ | \\ E - E \\ | \\ \text{zero? } E \\ | \\ \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ | \\ \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \end{array}$$

- key concepts: syntax, semantics, interpreter