

# COSE212: Programming Languages

## Lecture 6 — Procedures

Hakjoo Oh  
2015 Fall

# Let: A Simple Expression Language

Syntax:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P & \rightarrow & E \\ E & \rightarrow & n \\ | & & x \\ | & & E + E \\ | & & E - E \\ | & & \text{zero? } E \\ | & & \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ | & & \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \end{array}$$

# Let: A Simple Expression Language

Semantic domain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Val} &= \mathbb{Z} + \mathbf{Bool} \\ \mathbf{Env} &= \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \mathbf{Val} \end{aligned}$$

Semantics rules:

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 - E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 - n_2}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

# Proc = Let + Procedures

$$\begin{array}{c} P \rightarrow E \\ E \rightarrow n \\ | \quad x \\ | \quad E + E \\ | \quad E - E \\ | \quad \text{zero? } E \\ | \quad \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ | \quad \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \\ | \quad \text{proc } x \text{ } E \\ | \quad E \text{ } E \end{array}$$

## Example

- let f = proc (x) (x-11)  
in (f (f 77))
- (proc (f) (f (f 77)))  
proc (x) (x-11))

## Free/Bound Variables of Procedures

- An occurrence of the variable  $x$  is *bound* when it occurs in the body of a procedure whose formal parameter is  $x$ .
- Otherwise, the variable is *free*.
- In procedure

proc (y) (x+y)

$x$  is free and  $y$  is bound.

# Static vs. Dynamic Scoping

What is the result of the program?

```
let x = 1
in let f = proc (y) (x+y)
   in let x = 2
      in (f 3)
```

Two ways to determine free variables of procedures:

- In *static scoping*, the procedure body is evaluated in the creation environment.
- In *dynamic scoping (lexical scoping)*, the procedure body is evaluated in the calling environment.

Most modern languages use static scoping.

# Why Static Scoping?

- Dynamic scoping makes programs very difficult to understand.
  - ▶ In static scoping, names are resolved at compile-time.
  - ▶ In dynamic scoping, names are resolved during program execution.
- ex) What is the result of the program?

```
let a = 3
in let p = proc (z) a
    in let f = proc (a) (p 0)
        in let a = 5
            in (f 2)
```

- In static scoping, renaming bound variables by their definitions does not change the semantics, which is unsafe in dynamic scoping.

# Semantics of Procedures: Static Scoping

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Val} &= \mathbb{Z} + \textit{Bool} + \textit{Procedure} \\ \textit{Procedure} &= \textit{Var} \times \textit{E} \times \textit{Env} \\ \textit{Env} &= \textit{Var} \rightarrow \textit{Val} \end{aligned}$$

The procedure value is called *closures*.

- Semantics rule:

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho)}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad \rho'[x \mapsto v] \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

## Example

$$\rho \vdash f \Rightarrow (y, x + y, [x \mapsto 1]) \quad \rho \vdash 3 \Rightarrow 3 \quad [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 3] \vdash x + y \Rightarrow 4$$

$$\rho = \left[ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 2, \\ f \mapsto (y, x + y, [x \mapsto 1]) \end{array} \right] \vdash (f \ 3) \Rightarrow 4$$

$$[x \mapsto 1] \vdash \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \Rightarrow (y, x + y, [x \mapsto 1]) \quad \left[ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1, \\ f \mapsto (y, x + y, [x \mapsto 1]) \end{array} \right] \vdash \text{let } x = 2 \text{ in } (f \ 3) \Rightarrow 4$$

$$[] \vdash 1 \Rightarrow 1, \quad [x \mapsto 1] \vdash \text{let } f = \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \text{ in let } x = 2 \text{ in } (f \ 3) \Rightarrow 4$$

$$[] \vdash \text{let } x = 1 \text{ in let } f = \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \text{ in let } x = 2 \text{ in } (f \ 3) \Rightarrow 4$$

## cf) Dynamic Scoping

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Val} &= \mathbb{Z} + \textit{Bool} + \textit{Procedure} \\ \textit{Procedure} &= \textit{Var} \times E \\ \textit{Env} &= \textit{Var} \rightarrow \textit{Val} \end{aligned}$$

- Semantics rule:

$$\frac{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E)}{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E) \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad \rho[x \mapsto v] \vdash E \Rightarrow v'} \quad \rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'$$

## Example: Dynamic Scoping

$$\rho \vdash f \Rightarrow (y, x+y) \quad \rho \vdash 3 \Rightarrow 3 \quad \rho[y \mapsto 3] \vdash x+y \Rightarrow 5$$

$$\rho = \left[ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 2, \\ f \mapsto (y, x+y) \end{array} \right] \vdash (f 3) \Rightarrow 5$$

---

$$[x \mapsto 1] \vdash \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \Rightarrow (y, x+y)$$
$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} x \mapsto 1, \\ f \mapsto (y, x+y) \end{array} \right] \vdash \text{let } x = 2 \text{ in } (f 3) \Rightarrow 5$$

---

$$\text{let } f = \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y)$$
$$[] \vdash 1 \Rightarrow 1, \quad [x \mapsto 1] \vdash \text{in let } x = 2 \text{ in } (f 3) \Rightarrow 5$$

---

$$\text{let } x = 1$$
$$[] \vdash \text{in let } f = \text{proc } (y) \ (x+y) \text{ in let } x = 2 \text{ in } (f 3) \Rightarrow 5$$

# Multiple Argument Procedures by Currying

- We can get the effect of multiple argument procedures by using procedures that return other procedures.

- ex) a function that takes two arguments and return their sum:

```
let f = proc (x) proc (y) (x+y)  
in ((f 3) 4)
```

- This is called *Currying*, and the procedure is said to be *Curried*.

# Recursive Procedures

Our language does not support recursive procedures:

```
let f = proc (x) (f x)
in (f 1)
```

Evaluation:

$$\frac{[f \mapsto (x, f x, [])] \vdash f \Rightarrow (x, f x, []) \quad [x \mapsto 1] \vdash f \Rightarrow ? \quad [x \mapsto 1] \vdash x \Rightarrow 1}{[x \mapsto 1] \vdash f x \Rightarrow ?}$$
$$[f \mapsto (x, f x, [])] \vdash (f 1) \Rightarrow ?$$

# LETREC: A Language with Recursive Procedures

$P \rightarrow E$

$E \rightarrow n$

$x$

$E + E$

$E - E$

zero?  $E$

if  $E$  then  $E$  else  $E$

let  $x = E$  in  $E$

letrec  $f(x) = E$  in  $E$

proc  $x E$

$E E$

## Example

```
letrec double(x) =  
    if zero?(x) then 0 else ((double (x-1)) + 2)  
in (double 6)
```

# Semantics of Recursive Procedures

- Domain:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Val} &= \mathbb{Z} + \textit{Bool} + \textit{Procedure} + \textcolor{blue}{\textit{RecProcedure}} \\ \textit{Procedure} &= \textit{Var} \times E \times \textit{Env} \\ \textcolor{blue}{\textit{RecProcedure}} &= \textcolor{blue}{\textit{Var} \times \textit{Var} \times E \times \textit{Env}} \\ \textit{Env} &= \textit{Var} \rightarrow \textit{Val} \end{aligned}$$

- Semantics rule:

$$\frac{\rho[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)] \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$
$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho'[x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')] \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}$$
$$\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v'$$

## Example

$$\frac{[f \mapsto (f, x, f\ x, [])] \vdash f \Rightarrow (f, x, f\ x, []) \quad \overline{[x \mapsto 1, f \mapsto (f, x, f\ x, [])] \vdash f\ x \Rightarrow \vdots} }{[f \mapsto (f, x, f\ x, [])] \vdash (f\ 1) \Rightarrow \overline{[] \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = (f\ x) \text{ in } (f\ 1) \Rightarrow}}$$

## cf) Recursion is Not Special in Dynamic Scoping

With dynamic scoping, recursive procedures require no special mechanism.  
Running the program

```
let f = proc (x) (f x)
in (f 1)
```

via dynamic scoping semantics

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E) \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad \rho[x \mapsto v] \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

proceeds well:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \hline [f \mapsto (x, f\ x), x \mapsto 1] \vdash f\ x \Rightarrow \\ \hline [f \mapsto (x, f\ x), x \mapsto 1] \vdash f\ x \Rightarrow \\ \hline [f \mapsto (x, f\ x)] \vdash f\ 1 \Rightarrow \\ \hline [] \vdash \text{let } f = \text{proc } (x) (f\ x) \text{ in } (f\ 1) \Rightarrow \end{array}$$

# Summary

A “Turing-complete” language with expressions and procedures:

## Syntax

$$\begin{array}{rcl} P & \rightarrow & E \\ E & \rightarrow & n \\ & | & x \\ & | & E + E \\ & | & E - E \\ & | & \text{zero? } E \\ & | & \text{if } E \text{ then } E \text{ else } E \\ & | & \text{let } x = E \text{ in } E \\ & | & \text{letrec } f(x) = E \text{ in } E \\ & | & \text{proc } x \text{ } E \\ & | & E \text{ } E \end{array}$$

# Summary

## Semantics

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash n \Rightarrow n} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \Rightarrow \rho(x)} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow n_1 \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow n_2}{\rho \vdash E_1 + E_2 \Rightarrow n_1 + n_2}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow 0}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{true}} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E \Rightarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{zero? } E \Rightarrow \text{false}} \quad n \neq 0$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{true} \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow \text{false} \quad \rho \vdash E_3 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{if } E_1 \text{ then } E_2 \text{ else } E_3 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad [x \mapsto v_1]\rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{\rho[f \mapsto (f, x, E_1, \rho)] \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{letrec } f(x) = E_1 \text{ in } E_2 \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash \text{proc } x \ E \Rightarrow (x, E, \rho)}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \vdash (x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad \rho'[x \mapsto v] \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash E_1 \Rightarrow (f, x, E, \rho') \quad \rho \vdash E_2 \Rightarrow v \quad \rho'[x \mapsto v, f \mapsto (f, x, E, \rho')] \vdash E \Rightarrow v'}{\rho \vdash E_1 \ E_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$